

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,050	04/06/2001		Petr Peterka	GIC-555	2207
75	590	01/19/2005		EXAM	INER
Barry R Lipsit	z		KENDALL, CHUCK O		
755 Main Street		ng 8		ADTIBUT	DA DED MUADED
Monroe, CT 0	)6468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2122	

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/807,050	PETERKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chuck Kendall	2122					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lead of this statutory minimum of thire d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Alead of the status of th	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	November 2004.						
,							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 3, 5 - 7 &amp; 9,10,12, 14 -24</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 3, 5 - 7 &amp; 9,10,12, 14 -24</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [T] Intention (						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/807,050 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

#### **Detailed Action**

1. This action is in response to the application filed 11/08/04.

2. Claims 1 - 3, 5 - 7 & 9, 10, 12, 14 - 24 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1 3, 5, 21 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mills USPN 6,055,560.

Regarding claim 1, Mills anticipates, a method A television set-top terminal (FIG1, set top box. 108), comprising:

a computer readable, medium having computer program code (2:55 – 57); and means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein (FIG. 2, API 152 and at 5:30 – 32);

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (5:57-64, see panel stacking and back track (recover));

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (8:2-6, see install and download);

the API enables running and subsequent stopping of the applications (6:5-10, see stop button ); and

the API enables the retrieval of the applications as broadcasts software applications (4:60 – 65, see queue and broadcast, also see 8:15 – 20 for retrieving);

the API enables pausing of the applications once they are running, and subsequent resuming of the applications (5:10 – 15, see suspension and resume).

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 2, the terminal of claim 1, wherein: a user is notified of the presence of the applications after registration and installation thereof (Col. 19: 23 – 25).

Regarding claim 3, the terminal of claim 1, wherein: said API enables the retrieval of the applications as downloadable software applications (8:3 – 6, see "retrieves appropriate panels to download").

Regarding claim 5, the terminal of claim 1, wherein:

said API is independent of an operating system and hardware of the terminal (5:32 – 36, see "without knowing the database language or which type of database is being used...).

Regarding claim 21, the method version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 22, the method version of claim 2, see rationale as previously discussed above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6,7, 9, 10, 12,14 20, 23 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills USPN 6,055,560 in view of Anandakumar et al. USPN 6,574,213 B1.

Regarding claim 6, Mills discloses a television set-top terminal (FIG.5, 108), comprising:

a computer readable medium having computer program code (2:55 - 57); and

Art Unit: 2122

means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein:

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (5:57-64, see panel stacking and back track (recover));

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (8:2-6, see install and download); and

the API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise their respective states to other applications (5:20 – 25). Mills doesn't explicitly disclose providing an ITU-T X.731 based mechanism for monitoring and controlling the applications, wherein said ITU-TX.731 is an international standard which defines management states, status codes and state transitions for manageable objects, although he does disclose a telecommunication system utilizing X. 25 an earlier standard. Anandakumar does however in an analogous art disclose providing improvements to packing network environments including the ITU standards. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Mills and Anandakumar because, using the ITU-TX. 371 standard would make the system more compatible.

Regarding claim 7, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

a user is notified of the presence of the applications after registration and installation thereof (Mills, 5:20 – 25, see current state).

Regarding claim 9, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables at least one of the other applications to access the advertised state of at least one of the particular advertising applications (Mills, 5:20 – 25, see current state).

Regarding claim 10, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables retrieval of version information associated with the applications (Mills, 8:1 – 5, see matching and retrieving appropriate panels to download).

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 12, the terminal of claim 6, wherein: API enables verification of the integrity of all of the applications (Mills,15:5 – 10, see "set top enabling code verifies").

Regarding claim 14, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables administrative locking and unlocking of the applications (Mills, 8:62 – 67, see lock bit).

Regarding claim 15, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective alarm statuses thereof to other ones of the applications (Mills, 7: 12 – 15, see "video information is then provided to the set top box 108 for purpose of display on the television 106").

Regarding claim 16, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective availability statuses thereof to other ones of the applications (Mills, 5:20 – 25, see current state).

Regarding claim 17, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective procedural statuses thereof to other ones of the applications (Mills, 7: 12 - 15, see "video information is then provided to the set top box 108 for purpose of display on the television 106").

Regarding claim 18, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective operational states thereof to other ones of the applications Mills, 7: 12 – 15, see "video information is then provided to the set top box 108 for purpose of display on the television 106").

Regarding claim 19, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective administrative states thereof to other ones of the applications (Mills, 7: 12 - 15, see "video information is then provided to the set top box 108 for purpose of display on the television 106").

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 20, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective usage states thereof to other ones of the applications (Mills,5:20 – 25).

Regarding claim 23, the method version of claim 6, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 24, the method version of claim 7, see rationale as previously discussed above.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see page  $2,3^{rd}$  paragraph of response, filed 11/08/2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-3, 5-7 & 9,10,12, 14-24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mills USPN 6,055,560.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571. 2723698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-2723695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ck

TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER